

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,914	11/19/2003	Kenneth D. Landry	77414/32873	6413
22850	7590 03/24/2006		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CIRIC, LJILJANA V	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,914	LANDRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and a discourse of the same of					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document						
Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	:				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11182005, 1/19 2003 BVC 1163) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the line quality of Figures 11 through 14 is generally uneven and poor. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no detailed description in the specification corresponding to Figures 11 through 14.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,914 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

4. Claims 1 through 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no detailed description in the specification corresponding to the refrigerator-oven illustrated Figures 11 through 14 and recited in claims 1 through 14. Thus, there is insufficient support in the specification as originally filed to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventors at the time of invention had possession of the refrigerator-oven that is claimed in claims 1 through 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/716,914

Art Unit: 3753

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this

Page 4

country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1 through 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being anticipated by Clark et

al.(made of record via IDS).

Clark et al. discloses a combination cooling and cooking appliance 20 essentially as claimed,

including, for example, a door 48 movably mounted to cover and uncover a first access opening

corresponding to the oven or cooking chamber 28, a heat element or heating unit 50 disposed within the

cooking chamber or oven 28, an inlet duct 80 extending between the refrigeration module or unit 70 and

the cooking chamber or oven 28, a return duct 84 extending between the refrigeration module or unit 70

and the cooking chamber or oven 28, a refrigeration module or unit 70 including a compressor 76, a

condenser 90, an evaporator 78 mounted inside a housing as shown in Figure 4, with the evaporator

housing as mounted thus being at least somewhat inherently thermally insulating with respect to both the

condenser 90 and with respect to the base because of the air-filled (and thus inherently insulative) housing

surrounding the evaporator. A second access opening through which access to the interior of refrigeration

module or unit 70 is provided corresponds to the opening in which drawer 68 is disposed, this opening

receiving and thus being sized to receive the refrigeration module or unit 70. The combination

refrigerator-oven may be remotely actuated as shown in Figure 6.

The reference thus reads on the claims.

7. Alternately, claims 1, 2, 7 through 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being

anticipated by Mueller et al. ('789, made of record via IDS).

Mueller et al. ('789) discloses a combination refrigerated oven including a refrigeration module

as shown in Figure 6, for example.

The reference thus reads on the claims.

Interference

Application/Control Number: 10/716,914 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

8. Applicant's request for interference is premature since examination of the application is not

complete.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric whose telephone number is 571-272-4909. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene

Mancene, can be reached at 571-272-4930.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753